



UNIVERSITÄT
BAYREUTH

On a Problem of Hajdu and Tengely

Samir Siksek
University of Warwick

Michael Stoll
Universität Bayreuth

ANTS IX
INRIA, Nancy
July 23, 2010

The Problem

In a recent paper, **Hajdu** and **Tengely** have studied (among other cases) **arithmetic progressions** in **coprime** integers whose terms are **squares** and **fifth powers**.

They showed that **no non-trivial** such APs with **four terms** exist, except possibly of the form

$$a^2, b^2, c^2, d^5 \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad a^5, b^2, c^2, d^2.$$

We will show that also in this case, the **only solution** is the **trivial** one.

Translation of the Problem

The **first step** is to reduce the problem to a question about **rational points** on certain **curves**.

There are several ways in which this can be done here; we have chosen the following.

First note that all terms have to be **odd** (consider squares mod 4).

From the last three terms b^2 , c^2 , d^5 we obtain the equation

$$b^2 - 2c^2 = (-d)^5.$$

We factor the left hand side:

$$(b + c\sqrt{2})(b - c\sqrt{2}) = (-d)^5$$

and observe that (since b is odd) the factors are **coprime** in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$.

Construction of the Curves (1)

Recall that

$$(b + c\sqrt{2})(b - c\sqrt{2}) = (-d)^5.$$

Since the factors on the left are coprime and $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a PID, we must have that

$$b + c\sqrt{2} = (1 + \sqrt{2})^j (u + v\sqrt{2})^5$$

for some $j \in \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$ and integers u and v .

We expand and compare coefficients; this gives

$$b = g_j(u, v) \quad \text{and} \quad c = h_j(u, v)$$

with certain homogeneous polynomials $g_j, h_j \in \mathbb{Z}[u, v]$ of degree 5.

Construction of the Curves (2)

Recall

$$b = g_j(u, v) \quad \text{and} \quad c = h_j(u, v).$$

Now we use the relation

$$a^2 = 2b^2 - c^2$$

and find that

$$a^2 = 2g_j(u, v)^2 - h_j(u, v)^2 =: f_j(u, v)$$

where $f_j \in \mathbb{Z}[u, v]$ is homogeneous of **degree 10**.

Setting $y = a/v^5$ and $x = u/v$, we obtain **hyperelliptic curves of genus 4**:

$$C_j : y^2 = f_j(x, 1).$$

Since $f_{-j}(x, 1) = f_j(-x, 1)$, the curves C_{-j} and C_j are **isomorphic**.

The Curves

$$C_0 : y^2 = 2x^{10} + 55x^8 + 680x^6 + 1160x^4 + 640x^2 - 16$$

$$C_1 : y^2 = x^{10} + 30x^9 + 215x^8 + 720x^7 + 1840x^6 + 3024x^5 \\ + 3880x^4 + 2880x^3 + 1520x^2 + 480x + 112$$

$$C_2 : y^2 = 14x^{10} + 180x^9 + 1135x^8 + 4320x^7 + 10760x^6 + 18144x^5 \\ + 21320x^4 + 17280x^3 + 9280x^2 + 2880x + 368$$

Any solution to the original problem gives rise to a **rational point** on one of these curves.

The **trivial solution** comes from the two **points at infinity** on C_1 .

Dealing with C_0 and C_2

We first consider C_0 and C_2 .

We do not expect any rational points on them, so we try to prove this.

This can be done by a **2-descent** on these curves, which proves that they do not have **2-coverings with points everywhere locally**.

Since any rational point would have to **lift** to one of these coverings, this shows that rational points **cannot exist**.

This is implemented in MAGMA:

```
> TwoCoverDescent(HyperellipticCurve(Polynomial(  
    [-16,0,640,0,1160,0,680,0,55,0,2])));  
> TwoCoverDescent(HyperellipticCurve(Polynomial(  
    [368,2880,9280,17280,21320,18144,10760,4320,1135,180,14])));
```

2-Descent on C_1

We can also perform a 2-descent on C_1 .

We obviously cannot get a proof that there are no rational points, but we do get the information that there is **only one** 2-covering of C_1 that has **rational points**.

We can use one of the two rational points at infinity to **embed** C_1 into its **Jacobian** J_1 ; then the result tells us that the **image in** J_1 of any rational point of C_1 must be **twice** an element of the Mordell-Weil group $J_1(\mathbb{Q})$.

In order to make use of this information, we need to know something about the **Mordell-Weil group** $J_1(\mathbb{Q})$.

2-Descent on J_1

We can do a 2-descent on J_1 .

(For Jacobians of curves of **genus 2**, this is in MAGMA;
for hyperelliptic Jacobians of higher even genus, it will be at some point.)

This results in an **upper bound** of **2** for the **rank** of $J_1(\mathbb{Q})$.

On the other hand, we can find **two independent points** $Q_1, Q_2 \in J_1(\mathbb{Q})$
and show that there is no torsion, so

$$J_1(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2,$$

and $G = \langle Q_1, Q_2 \rangle$ is a subgroup of **finite index**.

Restricting the Residue Classes

We can show that $J_1(\mathbb{Q})$ and G have the **same image** in $J_1(\mathbb{F}_7)$ and $J_1(\mathbb{F}_{13})$.

Considering the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_1(\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & 2J_1(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_1(\mathbb{F}_7) & \longrightarrow & J_1(\mathbb{F}_7) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_1(\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & 2J_1(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_1(\mathbb{F}_{13}) & \longrightarrow & J_1(\mathbb{F}_{13}) \end{array}$$

we can show that any rational point on C_1 **must reduce** to a point **at infinity** in $C_1(\mathbb{F}_7)$.

It remains to show that there can be **at most one** rational point in each of these residue classes.

The Chabauty-Coleman Method

Let C be a curve of **genus** g , with Jacobian J , and let $p > 2$ be a prime of **good reduction**.

There is an **'integration pairing'**

$$J(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \Omega_C^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

If the **rank** of $J_1(\mathbb{Q})$ is **less than** g , then there is a differential $0 \neq \omega \in \Omega_C^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ that **kills** $J(\mathbb{Q})$.

Theorem.

Let $P \in C(\mathbb{F}_p)$ such that the reduction $\bar{\omega}$ of ω **does not vanish** at P . Then there is **at most one** rational point on C that reduces to P .

Application

In our case, the **rank** is **2** and the **genus** is **4**,
so we can hope to be able to apply the method.

We use $p = 7$ (it is usually a good idea to use a **small** prime).

After a somewhat involved computation,
we find the **2-dimensional space** of differentials that kill $J_1(\mathbb{Q})$.

There is a differential in this space
whose reduction **does not vanish** at infinity.

This concludes the proof.